




Apollo moon landing 69-72

By René Droz, October 22, 2023, www.nomoonlanding.ch

11 good reasons

Why the moon landing was staged



Yes, the rocket took off and a few days later a capsule was seen floating to Earth on a parachute. But is this evidence of the moon landing?

Yes, there is a relatively large amount of moon rock. But none of this has to come from the moon. WvBraun had already collected a lot on the Antarctic expedition in 1967. One of the few rocks that has been examined internationally is the wood petrification that was given to the Dutch royal family. This is definitely NOT from the moon.

Yes, the distance to the Moon can be measured accurately, but not because of this “reflector” from Apollo. The amount of radiation is no greater than that from the lunar surface (it has been verified!) and precise distance measurements have been available since 1966.

Yes, we Bernese are particularly proud of the Swiss experiment: the solar sail with the solar wind: capturing alpha & beta rays! Are we right? Or was the Swiss experiment simply misused to support confidence in the Apollo mission?

Yes, it's true, the Soviets congratulated. But what else were they supposed to do? They had nothing on their hands that would make the Western world believe that Apollo was just a set-up. They had lost their best scientist (Korolev) in 1966 and many of their manned spaceflight experiments went wrong.



1967-1969: The story of the Apollo project speaks for itself



Lyndon B. Johnson

James E. Webb

The story of the real Apollo project and especially the history of the NASA leadership speaks for itself. Anyone who is familiar with larger technical projects has to put skepticism in the foreground. You have to know that NASA is an organ of the government and reports directly to the President. Your boss is neither a 'Director' nor a 'State's Secretary' but (only) an 'Administrator'. The president determines how the USA should behave.

- James Webb was the leading man at NASA. If he retires 1 year before the "greatest success in human history", then one can assume that he himself did not believe in success. He certainly would NOT have been replaced by President Nixon if he hadn't thrown in the towel on his own initiative, just like his designated successor Robert Seamans. It is **highly unlikely** that with the change of NASA boss or president, all of the problems (apparently hundreds of serious ones) that still needed to be solved were suddenly miraculously solved.
- The lunar module was never shown to be functional. Even though NASA claims this, there is not a single visual evidence of this. The only evidence comes from the last main rehearsal in 1968. It went terribly wrong: Neil Armstrong fell and had to escape using the ejector seat. After that, the public saw nothing more.



Robert Seamans



Richard Nixon, Thomas O Paine, his NASA boss



Main rehearsal of the lunar module



Gus Grissom



Thomas Baron

- It is extremely strange that in the Apollo 1 accident (January 27, 1967) the very astronaut who had expressed his displeasure about the absolute unsuitability of the lunar module (Gus Grissom) was silenced! Is it **really a stupid coincidence** that happened when the oxygen lines were swapped, one that has never happened again before or since?

- No one doubts the Baron report, which confirmed in 1967 that NASA had hardly had any real successes up to that point. He has been considered "missing" since the fatal accident of this safety inspector, who was on the verge of canceling the entire exercise. Because 1 week after his inexplicable accident at the railway crossing (April 27, 1967), he would have presented his report in the Senate in front of the public. The aborted moon landing would have been a disaster for the economy and for the international reputation of the USA. Is it **really just a coincidence** that this accident was avoided?


- The first press conference after the return of the Apollo 11 astronauts was an unbelievable, undignified spectacle of 3 rascals who had to justify their prank. So e.g. They all said they couldn't remember seeing the stars, even Collins, who remained in the capsule. They probably weren't lying: they really couldn't remember, they weren't there.





Why the Apollo moon landing was staged

Documents: www.nomoonlanding.ch



1969: Unrealistic photos, videos and audio documents


The sparkling clean landing feet on the Apollo 11 lander without any traces of a landing process in a field that cannot possibly be the sea of calm, because you can only see about 30 meters and then there is a black wall. This is definitely not a real photo of the moon, which was repeatedly presented to us as the first and most important image from Apollo 11. This is just one of the many photos that cannot be completely explained, not even with the huge effort that so-called “experts” put into trying to somehow explain the discrepancies as a possible optical effect, such as non-parallel ones Shadows, more than 1 light source, discarded life support systems, starless sky, sticky sand, waving flags, unsuitable film footage, unrealistic movements, lack of visibility of burning gas emissions [8], etc.

There is neither a physical nor a logical explanation for around 16 effects. In the case of the aerial jump [6], which is not high enough, one could at best point to the unknown weight (not the one specified by NASA!) or, in the case of the missing wheel tracks, to the unknown terrain. All other stated discrepancies are necessarily illogical. For example, to explain the lack of engine noise [No picture] (during the “Apollo 11 broadcast landing”) with the vacuum in the lander is simply unscientific and stupid. Solid state physics says that sound waves are also transmitted through solid bodies, right up to the air-filled space suit of astronaut Armstrong, from whose microphone the report took place. Even so-called “debunkers” had to admit that the sand [5] does not stick without moisture. The flag [4] was proven to fly 3 times without touching the astronaut. The discarded life support system [3] of the second astronaut who took the photo (does he even do that?) can be seen in the mirror image of the astronaut being photographed (Apollo-17). This is an original NASA image. And flashes of the supporting rope, as the astronaut jumps around [7] and even hangs backwards, can be clearly seen.



Why the Apollo moon landing was staged

Documents: www.nomoonlanding.ch



1976: Bill Kaysing was the first doubter

It's not as if no one at NASA became aware of the dubious operations and spread these doubts to the public. **Bill Kaysing, an insider** who knew how NASA worked, did this despite all the hardships he had to endure because of it. His book “We never went to the Moon (1976)” was a certain success, as there is a strong minority in the USA who do not believe in the moon landing. But of course such voices cannot stand against such powerful myths that glorify the country. But wasn't he right from A—Z? He was responsible for the documentation of the Apollo project and so knew where which reports about the individual areas should have come from.

4



Why the Apollo moon landing was staged

Documents: www.nomoonlanding.ch



1994: Neil Armstrong speaks of truth-obscuring layers at NASA

At the 25th anniversary in 1994, the “first man on the moon” did not speak of the moon landing, but of the missions that still needed to be accomplished, of the “layers that obscure the truth” that needed to be removed, *and* of the “parrots of NASA.”

5



Why the Apollo moon landing was staged

Documents: www.nomoonlanding.ch



Michael D. Griffin

2006: Apollo artifacts reported missing

In 2006, key artifacts from the Apollo mission were reported “missing” by NASA chief. All of these artifacts are said to have “disappeared,” even though this is the most glorious action of humanity and especially of the United States of America? *How credible is that?*

The **spacesuits** that provided so much protection against space radiation, especially beyond the Van Allen Belt, were “somehow disposed of,” forcing today’s space specialists to say that they now have to be reinvented.

The **plans for the lunar module**, which could have been used to confirm the assumption that the ferry actually flew, have also disappeared for equally incomprehensible reasons: “You don’t need them anymore”.

Nobody understands why NASA argued in 2006 that the **tapes with the moon landing dialogue** (Apollo 11) were no longer available because they were forced to be “reused” due to budget cuts (variant a) or because the instruments for processing were out of date and no longer available (variant b).

6



Why the Apollo moon landing was staged

Documents: www.nomoonlanding.ch



2007: Google X Prize: The investigation of the moon landing was prevented by NASA

The only way to silence all these doubts about the moon landing would have been to get independent institutions to use spacecraft to take close-up photographs of the Apollo artifacts left behind.

In 2007, Google launched such a tender, offering a \$30 million prize to the company that could build a probe that could land a probe on the moon and send live images back to Earth over a terrain of over 500m. The second prize was \$4 million for whoever was the first to photograph any Apollo landing site and send it to Earth. 20 companies would have been willing to do so. NASA actually has no reason NOT to have its mission confirmed by external institutions. Only one thing is credible: *the result would not be what they intended*.

But NASA wasn’t happy with that and told participants in 2011 that they were not allowed to get closer than 2 km to the Apollo landing sites: a no-fly zone. “To preserve the landing sites from contamination”. But there are no credible images from that far.

7



Why the Apollo moon landing was staged
Documents: www.nomoonlanding.ch



2014/ 1965 : The LOLA system (Lunar Orbit and Landing Approach Simulator)

It has been *proven (NASA statement) that it served no other purpose* than to take great pictures and films that were supposed to depict a moon landing.

8



Why the Apollo moon landing was staged
Documents: www.nomoonlanding.ch



2014/ 1969 : Unrealistic sun on the moon

"TV" recordings with the cone-shaped chandelier, which kept shifting in the original recordings and was supposed to represent the lunar sun, can only have been taken on a "training ground", but are considered to be the real moon recordings. You can also see suspensions of this "moon sun", which looks exactly like the anti-aircraft chandelier that was spotted at NASA. The images confirm that all of the images had nothing to do with the moon.

9



Why the Apollo moon landing was staged
Documents: www.nomoonlanding.ch



2018/ (2004) : Recovered copies of the original tapes prove that they did not originate with the moon

That the tapes of the Apollo astronauts in the early 2000s were converted into DVDs, Massimo Mazzucco appeared in public for the first time in his film "American Moon". Witness statements from spacecraft confirm that these DVDs were copied and sold without modifications from the originals. For example, the original response times can also be measured in these copies. And in 3 cases these are not the minimum time it takes for a signal to get to the moon and back again. This is physically *irrefutable proof* that the signal does not come from the moon. During the staging, the respective astronaut simply forgot to wait for the "beep" that would have guaranteed this minimum distance. NASA later republished its (apparently rediscovered) sound data with a corrected version on the Internet.



Why the Apollo moon landing was staged
Documents: www.nomoonlanding.ch



2018/ 1971 Unrealistic Rover TV on Apollo 15

With this construct, images like those seen on TV could never have been transmitted directly to Earth. The orientation of the directional antenna could never have fluctuated more than 2.5° so that the connection would not have been interrupted (information from the NASA manual for this device). However, these values were far exceeded on the lunar rover's bumpy journey. A functional construct would have looked different: antenna on the fixed lunar module. This is therefore irrefutable proof that at least these TV images

Bitchute.com
 Yoice.com

Why the Apollo moon landing was staged
Documents: www.nomoonlanding.ch



2022/2023 Buzz Aldrin admitted it twice on TV:

[1] No you haven't. [2] We didn't do it!

- (1) "No, you didn't see us" (on the moon), he replies to the TV commentator, "there was no television." The pictures would have been just an "animation"!
- (2) A little girl asks him: "Why hasn't anyone been to the moon for 50 years?" He smiles and says: «That's not a question for a little girl. I ask this question. But I think, yes, I know why: Because we didn't do it!". He can't be any clearer, but it's obviously important to him not to lie to the young girl. He couldn't have meant it any other way, that wouldn't make any sense.





The Americans don't have any better pictures either

[www. nomoonlanding.ch](http://www.nomoonlanding.ch) _